# Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	05-4779/1		Introd	duction Nu	umber	SB-675	
Building and cre	Description Building a certain vehicle weighing station in Rock County, building a certain interchange in Rock County, and creating a vehicle weighing station surcharge for size and weight violations detected at a certain vehicle weighing station in Rock County							
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing ions Existing	Reve	ase Existing enues ease Existing enues	to			
	ndeterminate  I.  ncrease Permiss  2.  Decreas	e Costs ive Mandato	3.⊠Incre ry □Perm 4.□Decre	ase Revenue iissive⊠Man ease Revenue iissive⊡Man	Go Affi datory	pes of Locavernment ected Towns Counties School Districts	Units  Units	Town of La Prairie
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Ву		Authorized S	ignature			Date
DOT/ J	OT/ Jim Thiel (608) 266-8928 Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703				4/4/2006			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 4/5/2006

LRB Number	05-4779/1	Introduction Number	SB-675	Estimate Type	Original
Description					

Building a certain vehicle weighing station in Rock County, building a certain interchange in Rock County, and creating a vehicle weighing station surcharge for size and weight violations detected at a certain vehicle weighing station in Rock County

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill prohibits DOT from constructing, before January 1, 2057, any motor vehicle weighing station on the southbound lanes of I 39/I 90 in Rock County and prohibits DOT from building an interchange at the intersection of Townline Road and I 90 in Rock County. There is no cost associated with these prohibitions.

This bill imposes a 10 percent surcharge on all size and weight violations that are detected at a vehicle weighing facility located on the northbound lane of I 39/I 90 in Rock County and the surcharge is paid to the Town of La Prairie in Rock County.

During Calendar Year 2004, the following enforcement activity was recorded for the northbound weighing facility on I 39/ I 90 Utica Safety Weight Enforcement Facility (SWEF)in the Town of Pleasant Springs, Dane County:

2,518 Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) Inspections. Trucks are inspected to detect broken or defective equipment and ensure safe operation

- · 15,718 violations
- · 597 citations resulting in \$119,544.20 in revenue

## Size/Weight Enforcement

\$73,270.06 in revenue

Total of \$192,814.26 in revenue at Utica SWEF for CY 2004 of which \$73,270.06 would be subject to the 10% surcharge.

Hence, there would be a surcharge of approximately \$7,300 added by the court to the size and weight forefeitures and provided to the Town of La Prairie for any weight facility established in the specified location. The present Utica scale is a minor facility. The facility was constructed in 1963. There is no running water. Utica was scheduled to be removed after being replaced with a larger facility with modern technology. The enforcement activity at an improved facility would likely be greater.

There would be a one time indeterminate cost to establish and implement the new surcharge.

### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

There would likely be incremental increases in the total amount of any surcharge collected and provided to the Town of La Prairie depending on the functionality and hours of operation of a safety and weight enforcement facility at the specified location. The enforcement activity at an improved facility would likely be greater than at the sample Utica SWEF.

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	05-4779	/1	Intro	duction Nur	mber	SB-675		
County certain	ng a certain ve y, and creatin n vehicle weig	ng a vehicle w ghing station i	veighing station in Rock Coun	on surcharge nty		eight viola	ations detected at a		
annua	lized fiscal e	effect):				ment (do	not include in		
	rminate initial	al local cost to	implement	0% surcnarg		iceal Imp	ant an funde from:		
Hi Fu	uanzou	,10.			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from Increased Costs Decreased Costs				
A. Staf	te Costs by	Category							
State	e Operations	s - Salaries an	ıd Fringes		9	\$			
(FTE	E Position Ch	nanges)							
State	e Operations	s - Other Costs	S						
<del></del>	al Assistance								
<del>                                     </del>		ls or Organiza							
		Costs by Cat			\$	\$	\$		
		Source of Fu	abnu						
GPR									
FED									
	D/PRS								
	S/SEG-S								
		s - Complete x increase, de					ase state		
					Increased Re		Decreased Rev		
	R Taxes				\$	<i>;</i>	\$		
	REarned						*		
FED									
	D/PRS								
<del></del>	S/SEG-S					<u> </u>			
	OTAL State I		TOT ANNIHA	3ED EIGC	AL IMPACT	<u>;  </u>	\$		
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCA		<u>. T</u>	Local		
NET C	HANGE IN C	COSTS.			State \$		<u>Local</u> \$		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS  NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					<u> </u>		\$\$7,300.00		
IVE : C.	TANGE IN T	IL V LINO L			Ψ	<u>'</u>	ΦΦ7,300.00		
Agency/Prepared By Auth				Authorized	Signature		Date		
DOT/ Jim Thiel (608) 266-8928 Julie				Julie Johnso	lie Johnson (608) 267-3703 4/4/2				